



ND-Friendly Behaviour & Communication Guide

Shifting from behaviour-management to nervous-system understanding.

By Neal Glendenning

Contact: info@theneuroinclusionproject.co.uk

© 2025 Neal Glendenning / The Neuro Inclusion Project / NdCare Group.
All rights reserved.

This material may not be reproduced, distributed, adapted, or used for training, commercial, or educational purposes without prior written permission.

Important note

This resource is intended to support understanding and good practice in neuro-inclusive workplace design. It provides general guidance and does not constitute legal, medical, or clinical advice. Organisations should apply the principles in line with their own policies, regulatory obligations, and professional judgement.

A clear orientation (for organisations)

This is not a behaviour policy.
It is not a discipline framework.
It is not a guide to enforcing compliance.

This guide exists because many workplace and education systems still respond to neurodivergent (ND) behaviour as a **problem to correct**, rather than a **signal to understand**. When behaviour is managed without context, organisations often:

- escalate distress
- misinterpret safety responses as attitude
- increase shutdown, conflict, and attrition

An ND-friendly approach starts from a different assumption:

Behaviour is information... not intent.

This guide supports organisations to:

- interpret behaviour through a nervous-system lens
- respond in ways that reduce threat
- communicate without shame or escalation
- change conditions instead of controlling people

It must not be used to monitor emotional regulation, score behaviour, or justify punitive responses.

1. Core reframe: behaviour is a state, not a trait

Traditional behaviour-management assumes:

- behaviour reflects motivation or character
- compliance equals engagement
- consistency equals capability

For ND people, behaviour is often **state-dependent**.

Behaviour shifts with:

- cognitive load
- sensory input
- predictability
- power dynamics
- safety and clarity

The same person may appear:

- focused in one context
- avoidant in another
- articulate one day
- shut down the next

This is not inconsistency.
It is **context sensitivity**.

2. Why behaviour-management backfires in ND contexts

Behaviour-management systems often:

- rely on correction, monitoring, or consequences
- assume people can regulate on demand
- focus on outward behaviour rather than internal state

For ND nervous systems, this often:

- increases threat
- triggers masking or shutdown
- reduces trust
- worsens the behaviour it aims to stop

When people feel watched or judged, regulation becomes harder... not easier.

3. Common behaviours... and what they often signal

The table below reframes common “problem behaviours” as **nervous-system information**.

Observed behaviour	Often misread as	More likely signalling
Withdrawal / silence	Disengagement	Overload, processing, shutdown
Irritability	Attitude	Sensory or cognitive saturation
Missed deadlines	Laziness	Task paralysis, unclear scope
Over-explaining	Insecurity	Fear of misinterpretation
Avoiding meetings	Resistance	Sensory or social overload
Emotional response to feedback	Fragility	Threat perception (RSD)

This does **not** remove accountability.
It changes **where intervention happens**.

4. From “managing behaviour” to “adjusting conditions”

A practical shift:

- **Behaviour-management asks:**
How do we stop this behaviour?
- **ND-inclusive practice asks:**
What condition is making this behaviour necessary?

Examples:

- If someone shuts down in meetings → reduce meeting load or change format
- If someone reacts strongly to feedback → adjust pacing and context
- If someone avoids tasks → clarify scope, sequencing, or priority

Change the condition first.
Observe behaviour second.

5. Communication as a regulation tool

Communication itself can either:

- stabilise nervous systems
- or escalate threat

Communication that increases threat:

- ambiguity
- implied judgement
- surprise feedback
- emotional interpretation
- urgency without context

Communication that reduces threat:

- clarity
- predictability
- neutral tone
- explicit expectations
- choice and time

For ND staff, **how** something is said often matters more than **what** is said.

6. ND-friendly communication principles

1. Be explicit

Say what is meant.
Do not rely on hints, tone, or implication.

“I need X by Friday. This replaces the earlier request.”

2. Separate information from evaluation

Feedback should describe **what happened**, not what it “means”.

“The report was submitted after the deadline.”
not
“You didn’t prioritise this.”

3. Reduce speed and pressure

Fast delivery increases overload.

- Pause
- Allow silence
- Offer written follow-up

Processing time is access.

4. Avoid emotional interpretation

Do not label reactions as attitude, resistance, or intent.

Focus on:

- conditions
 - impact
 - next steps
-

7. Responding safely when behaviour escalates

When someone appears overwhelmed, distressed, or shut down:

Do:

- lower demand
- slow the pace
- reduce audience
- clarify expectations
- offer time and space

Safe language includes:

- “Let’s pause this.”
- “We can come back to this later.”
- “You don’t need to respond right now.”

Do NOT:

- push for explanation
- demand calm
- analyse behaviour
- threaten consequences

Stabilisation comes before resolution.

8. Accountability without threat

ND-friendly practice does **not** mean no boundaries.

It means:

- boundaries are clear
- expectations are explicit
- consequences are predictable
- responses are proportionate

Accountability works best when:

- people understand what’s expected
- capacity is considered
- feedback is timely and safe

Fear-based compliance is not sustainable accountability.

9. When behaviour keeps repeating

Repeated behaviour is often treated as defiance.

More often, it signals:

- unresolved overload
- unclear expectations
- mismatched role demands
- unsafe communication patterns

At this point, ask:

What condition keeps producing this pattern?

Addressing the condition reduces repetition far more effectively than correction.

10. What this guide must NOT be used for

This guide must not be used to:

- label people as “difficult”
- require emotional regulation on demand
- deny adjustments
- justify surveillance
- replace safeguarding or HR processes

A nervous-system lens is about **careful power use**, not avoidance of responsibility.

11. A brief organisational self-check (optional)

Leaders and educators may reflect:

- Where do we interpret behaviour instead of checking conditions?
- Where do we rely on urgency or pressure?
- Where might our communication be adding threat?
- What behaviour disappears when safety increases?

This is an orientation question... not an audit.

A closing note for organisations

People do not behave badly because they want to.

They behave in the ways their nervous systems allow **under the conditions they're given.**

When organisations shift from behaviour-management to nervous-system understanding:

- conflict reduces
- trust increases
- capacity returns
- learning and performance improve

This is not permissiveness.

It is **precision**... responding to what is actually happening, rather than what we assume is happening.

Design conditions that make safe behaviour possible.

The rest follows.

